

MAY 01 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ISIDRO CHINO-CAMACHO,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-75682

Agency No. A75-252-449

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 22, 2008^{**}

Before: GRABER, FISHER, and BERZON, Circuit Judges.

Isidro Chino-Camacho, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying his application for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review Chino-Camacho's contention that the IJ violated his due process rights by not granting his motion to continue because Chino-Camacho failed to raise this issue before the BIA. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 678 (9th Cir. 2004) (due process challenges that are "procedural in nature" must be exhausted).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.